

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	:	CRIMINAL ACTION
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	NO. 08-77
RODNEY LAW	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 11th day of May, 2012, upon consideration of defendant's pro se Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody (Document No. 58, filed November 21, 2011), the Government's Response to Defendant's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence, Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Document No. 62, filed January 15, 2012), and Movant's Reply to Government's Response to Defendant's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence, Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Document No. 65, filed March 5, 2012), for the reasons set forth in the Memorandum dated May 11, 2012, **IT IS ORDERED** as follows:

1. Defendant's pro se Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody is **DENIED**;
2. A certificate of appealability will not issue for any of petitioner's claims because reasonable jurists would not debate whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right as required under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), see Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); and
3. The Clerk of Court shall **MARK** the case **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Hon. Jan E. DuBois
JAN E. DUBOIS, J.